Profiles of Individual Radicalization in the United States (PIRUS)

**PROJECT OVERVIEW**

Profiles of Individual Radicalization in the United States (PIRUS) is a database of 2,226 Islamist, far-left, far-right, and single-issue extremists who have radicalized to violent and non-violent extremism in the United States from 1948 through 2018. The database is freely available for download on START’s webpage at https://www.start.umd.edu/profiles-individual-radicalization-united-states-pirus-keshif. The analysis for this research brief draws on the full dataset and illustrates the important differences that exist across ideological groups, as well as those which distinguish violent from non-violent extremists.

**COMPARING IDEOLOGICAL GROUPS**

The PIRUS data can be used to explore the radicalization trajectories of individuals from far-right, far-left, and Islamist ideologies.

**Extremism in the United States, 1970-2018 (%)**

The data show that radicalization in the United States has generally occurred in several waves. These waves roughly correspond to the rise of far-left extremism in the 1970s, far-right extremism beginning in the 1980s and continuing today, and Islamist extremism becoming more prominent after the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001.

Far-right extremists make up the largest ideological group in the database (n=977), followed by Islamist extremists (n=511). The remainder of the individuals in the data are far-left extremists (n=374) or individuals in the “single-issue” category (n=364), whose beliefs vary, but include anti-abortion extremists, Puerto Rican nationalists, and members of the Jewish Defense League.

2018 PIRUS data continued to show a decrease in the number of individuals inspired by Salafi Jihadism, with only 18 cases. That is down from 28 in 2017 and 66 in 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Public Exposure (Mean)</th>
<th>Far-right</th>
<th>Far-left</th>
<th>Islamist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Female</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Education (no college exp.)</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Socioeconomic Status</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Experience</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal History</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Radicalization</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>91.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of Mental Illness</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table based on the analysis of 2,226 individuals in PIRUS and displays valid percentages. Internet radicalization is measured only for cases from 2005 to present.

Far-Right Extremists

The PIRUS data show that, on average, far-right extremists tend to be older, have lower rates of college experience, and higher rates of pre-radicalization crime than their far-left and Islamist counterparts.

Far-Left Extremists

Far-left extremists tend to be young and well educated and are significantly more likely to be female than far-right or Islamist extremists.

Islamist Extremists

Islamist extremists tend to be young and male and show high rates of internet radicalization (since 2005).
Sub-Ideologies of Far-Right Extremists in the U.S., 1948-2018 (N=977)

The PIRUS data also capture the sub-ideological affiliations of U.S. extremists, showing both distinct and overlapping views within the far-right and far-left movements.

Among far-right extremists, the majority (65%) demonstrate some expression of White supremacist views, a view that people of European descent (typically Christians and especially men) are inherently superior to others and should therefore dominate social, political, and cultural institutions.

Anti-immigrant and anti-Muslim views are typically integrated into White supremacists’ racialized definitions of religion, ethnicity, and nationality. However, some far-right extremists are animated directly in opposition to people who are or are perceived to be immigrants or are of the Islamic faith. Nearly 30 percent of all far-right extremists in the dataset from 2015-2018 were motivated by anti-immigrant and anti-Muslim views compared to 8 percent from 2006-2015.

Finally, nearly 30 percent of the far-right extremists in the PIRUS database are or were members of the Sovereign Citizen movement, anti-government militias, and/or express anti-government views. While some anti-government extremists also subscribe to racist, nativist, and anti-Semitic views, others are motivated by a belief that the federal government lacks legitimate governing authority and they work actively to disrupt the enforcement of tax, land, traffic, and weapons laws.

Sub-Ideologies of Far-Left Extremists in the U.S., 1948-2018 (N=374)

Traditionally, far-left ideologies in the U.S. have been oriented around an opposition to capitalism, as well as racial, gender, and other inequalities.

Black Nationalists and “New Left” Beginning in the 1960s and through the 1980s, Black nationalists and “new left” movements dominated the far-left. Some members of these groups advocated for violence and other illegal activities to advance specific political agendas, including opposition to the Vietnam War and support for Black separatism.

Animal Rights and/or Environmentalist However, beginning in the 1980s, far-left extremists were increasingly motivated by animal rights and/or environmental concerns. Animal rights and extremist environmentalists comprise the largest far-left group in PIRUS (nearly 40%).

Anarchist Anarchist movements, which generally argue that state power is oppressive and advocate for voluntary, collective social arrangements, make up 8.3 percent of total far-left cases in the PIRUS data. However, in 2018, the number of Anarchists in the data grew to 50 percent of all far-left cases.
Correlation Matrix: Individual Attributes and Violent Extremism

An analysis of the data shows that individuals who are female, older, married, have children, and have stable employment histories are significantly less likely to plan, prepare for, or engage in acts of violence than those who are young, male, unmarried, unemployed, or under-employed.

Conversely, individuals who have engaged in pre-radicalization crime, show evidence of mental illness, substance abuse, or trauma, and act alone are more likely to engage in extremist violence.

Military experience, online radicalization, and affiliation with extremist groups do not appear to be significantly related in either direction to violent extremism.

The data show that there are notable clustering effects when it comes to the individual attributes of U.S. extremists. For example, there are strong positive correlations between mental illness, substance abuse, trauma, pre-radicalization crime and acting alone. Members of extremist groups are significantly less likely to display these characteristics.
ABOUT THE DATASET

PIRUS is a de-identified cross-sectional, quantitative dataset of individuals in the United States who radicalized to the point of violent or non-violent ideologically motivated criminal activity, or ideologically motivated association with a foreign or domestic extremist organization from 1948 to 2018. The PIRUS dataset was coded using entirely open-source material, including newspaper articles, websites (e.g., government, terrorist group, watchdog groups, research institutes, personal information finder sites), secondary datasets, peer-reviewed academic articles, journalistic accounts including books and documentaries, court records, police reports, witness transcribed interviews, psychological evaluations/reports, and information credited to the individual being researched (verified personal websites, autobiographies, social media accounts). PIRUS contains dozens of variables containing information on a wide range of characteristics, including the individuals’ criminal activity and/or violent plots, their relationship with their affiliated extremist group(s), adherence to ideological milieus, factors relevant to their radicalization process, demographics, background, and personal histories. The dataset is not limited to a single ideological category, and includes individuals representing far-right, far-left, Islamist, and single-issue ideologies.
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